Pupil Premium Strategy Statement

Wellington Primary School Pupil Premium Strategy Statement 2021-2024

This statement details our school's use of pupil premium (and recovery premium for the 2021 to 2022 academic year) funding to help improve the attainment of our disadvantaged pupils.

It outlines our pupil premium strategy, how we intend to spend the funding in this academic year and the effect that last year's spending of pupil premium had within our school.

School overview

| Detail | Data |
|--|------------------------------|
| School name | Wellington Primary School |
| Number of pupils in school | 478 |
| Proportion (%) of pupil premium eligible pupils | 23% |
| Academic year/years that our current pupil premium strategy plan covers (3 year plans are recommended) | 2021 – 2024 |
| Date this statement was published | December 2021 |
| Date on which it will be reviewed | December 2022 |
| Statement authorised by | Joy Wood |
| Pupil premium lead | Neil Gunstone |

Funding overview

| Detail | Amount |
|--|----------|
| Pupil premium funding allocation this academic year | £ 155330 |
| Recovery premium funding allocation this academic year | £ 16530 |
| Pupil premium funding carried forward from previous years (enter £0 if not applicable) | £ 0 |
| Total budget for this academic year | £ 171860 |

Part A: Pupil premium strategy plan

Statement of intent

At Wellington there are no limits on learning for any child.

We use our Pupil Premium and Recovery grants to ensure that the needs of every individual learner can be met and that any barriers to education or development resulting from socio-economic disadvantage are identified and effectively addressed. In this way, we ensure that any difference in achievement and opportunity between those children who are disadvantaged and other leaners, is diminished.

We want our disadvantaged pupils to:

- Develop high levels of literacy, language and communication skills
- Attain at least in line with their non-disadvantaged peers
- Attend school regularly
- Access a broad and balanced curriculum
- Develop high levels of cultural capital
- Be supported and nurtured at home by parents who understand and are confident in addressing their child's needs
- Demonstrate excellent levels of wellbeing

Challenges

This details the key challenges to achievement that we have identified among our disadvantaged pupils.

| Challenge number | Detail of challenge |
|------------------|--|
| 1 | Many children entitled to PP enter Nursery and Reception with skills below what is typical, particularly in Communication and Language. |
| 2 | Poor comprehension and inference skills in reading. (More able PP pupils demonstrate poor inferential skills compared to peers) |
| 3 | Disengagement in home reading of many of our PP families leads to lower attainment in phonics and reading. |
| 4 | A number of PP children have fallen behind their peers academically due to Covid closures. Gaps identified through school-based testing. |
| 5 | Low levels of attendance and high levels of persistent absenteeism, including problems with punctuality. |
| 6 | Low levels of education and educational aspirations amongst families. |
| 7 | Lack of wider life experiences outside of the home and school. |

| 8 | Complex family circumstances leading to poor routines and organisation in the home. |
|----|---|
| 9 | Poor social and emotional health and poor nutrition |
| 10 | Impact of pandemic on mental health, wellbeing, limit to experiences, anxiety, behaviour, attendance, home finances etc |

Intended outcomes

This explains the outcomes we are aiming for **by the end of our current strategy plan**, and how we will measure whether they have been achieved.

| Intended outcome | Success criteria | |
|--|---|--|
| Improved Good Level of Development (GLD) at the end of Reception for disadvantaged pupils. | In 2019 61.5% of disadvantaged pupil achieved GLD compared to 66.7% of all pupils. In 2024, disadvantaged pupils will attain at, or within | |
| | 5%, of the attainment of all pupils in GLD in 2022 | |
| Improved outcomes in the Year 1 Phonic screening check for disadvantaged | In 2019, 70% of disadvantaged pupils passed the screening check compared to 78.3% of all pupils. | |
| pupils. | In 2024, disadvantaged pupils will achieve at, or within 5%, of the attainment of all pupils in the Phonics Screening Check. | |
| Improved outcomes in reading, writing and maths at the end of KS1 for disadvantaged pupils | In 2019, the attainment gap between disadvantaged pupils and all pupils was significant. | |
| | 54.5% of disadvantaged pupils achieved the expected standard in Reading compared to 73.3% of all pupils. | |
| | 54.5% of disadvantaged pupils achieved the expected standard in Writing compared to 75% of all pupils. | |
| | 45.5% of disadvantaged pupils achieved the expected standard in Maths compared to 70% of all pupils. | |
| | 45.5% of disadvantaged pupils achieved the expected standard in RWM compared to 68.3% of all pupils. | |
| | In 2024, disadvantaged pupils will attain at, or within 10%, of the attainment of all pupils. | |

| Improved outcomes in maths and combined at the end of KS2 for disadvantaged pupils. | In 2019, 65% of disadvantaged pupils achieved the expected standard in Maths compared to 72.1% of all pupils. In 2019, only 55% of disadvantaged pupils achieved the expected standard in Reading, Writing and Maths. In 2024, disadvantaged pupils will attain at, or within 5%, of the attainment of all pupils in Maths. They will also close the gap on the national average of all pupils achieving the expected standard in Reading, Writing and Maths, which was 65%. |
|--|--|
| Disadvantaged pupils attend school regularly | Disadvantaged pupils' attendance will be inline or better than non-disadvantaged children nationally. Persistent absence for disadvantaged pupils will be lower than the national figure. Disadvantaged pupils and their parents will understand the importance of good attendance and will enjoy coming to school. Attendance action plans are in place for all identified pupils and support is provided for disadvantaged families as required. |
| Disadvantaged pupils access a broad and balanced curriculum | The curriculum is ambitious for disadvantaged pupils. Monitoring activities undertaken by SLT and subject leaders evidence Quality First Teaching and high-quality work for disadvantaged pupils. |
| Disadvantaged pupils develop high levels of cultural capital. | Disadvantaged pupils will acquire the knowledge and cultural capital they need to succeed in life. Disadvantaged pupils will have access to a wide, rich set of experiences and opportunities to develop their talents and interests. There is a strong take-up by disadvantaged pupils of the extra-curricular opportunities provided by school. 100% of disadvantaged pupils will access all school trips and visits planned for their class. Disadvantaged children will be exposed to a wide range of social, cultural, enrichment and sporting experiences within (and outside) the school day. |
| Disadvantaged pupils are supported and nurtured at home by parents who understand and are confident in addressing their child's needs. | Parents are provided with information about supporting their child's learning at home, including information about the school's method of teaching reading and how to help their children to learn to read. All disadvantaged pupils will engage with remote learning. Parents will know what their child is learning and how to help them to improve. |

| | Parents feel involved in, and actively contribute to, the life of the school. |
|--|---|
| | Parents are better equipped to support their children's emotional needs and help them to regulate at home. |
| Disadvantaged pupils demonstrate excellent levels of wellbeing | Disadvantaged pupils consistently have highly positive attitudes and commitment to their education. |
| | More able disadvantaged pupils demonstrate a growth mindset and resilience when approaching cognitively challenging activities. |
| | Disadvantaged pupils consistently report high levels of wellbeing in school. |
| | Monitoring shows that disadvantaged pupils are highly motivated and persistent in the face of difficulties. |
| | Disadvantaged pupils make a highly positive and, tangible contribution to the life of the school and wider community. |
| | Disadvantaged pupils actively support the wellbeing of other pupils. |
| | Disadvantaged pupils to recognise online and offline risks to their wellbeing. |
| | All disadvantaged pupils to have access to full school uniform. |

Activity in this academic year

This details how we intend to spend our pupil premium (and recovery premium funding) this academic year to address the challenges listed above.

Teaching (for example, CPD, recruitment and retention)

Budgeted cost: £30,000

| Activity | Evidence that supports this approach | Challenge number(s) addressed |
|---|--|-------------------------------------|
| Purchase Anima Phonics: Letters and Sounds Updated is a full synthetic phonics programme providing all the necessary resources and training | Research for the Ofsted Framework highlights the point that if pupils cannot read, they will not be able to access the curriculum, and will be disadvantaged for life. Education Endowment Fund (EEF) | 1,2, 3 & 4 |
| to achieve outstanding results. | research shows that the average impact of the adoption of phonics approaches is about an additional 5 months' progress in an academic year, | |
| | Phonics approaches have been consistently found to be effective in supporting younger pupils to master the basics of reading, with an average impact of an additional five months' progress. Research suggests that phonics is particularly beneficial for younger learners (4–7 year olds) as they begin to read. Teaching phonics is more effective on average than other approaches to early reading (such as whole language or alphabetic approaches), though it should be emphasised that effective phonics techniques are usually embedded in a rich literacy environment for early readers and are only one part of a successful literacy strategy. | |
| CPD sessions for all staff to introduce new behaviour policy and provide appropriate training | EEF research shows that the average impact of behaviour interventions is four additional months' progress over the course of a year. Evidence suggests that, on average, behaviour interventions can produce moderate improvements in academic performance along with a decrease in problematic behaviours. However, estimated benefits vary widely across programmes. | 10 |

| Approaches such as improving teachers' behaviour management and pupils' cognitive and social skills are both effective, on average. | |
|---|--|
|---|--|

Targeted academic support (for example, tutoring, one-to-one support structured interventions)

Budgeted cost: £122,000

| Activity | Evidence that supports this approach | Challenge number(s) addressed |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|
| | 1:1 Intervention | |
| PP pupils prioritised as daily readers with support staff. | EEF research shows that one to one tuition has an average impact of 5 months additional progress in an academic year. | 1, 2, 3 & 4 |
| Lexia Core 5 Reading subscription-Chromebooks & iPads to facilitate regular access to the program, with PP pupils being prioritised. | Evidence suggests short, regular sessions (about 30 minutes, three to five times a week) over a set period of time (up to ten weeks) appear to result in optimum impact. Evidence also suggests tuition should be | 1, 2, 3 & 4 |
| Bug Club subscription - Chromebooks & iPads to facilitate regular access to the program, with PP pupils being prioritised. | additional to, but explicitly linked with, normal teaching, and that teachers should monitor progress to ensure the tutoring is beneficial. | |
| TAs to deliver 1:1 reading tuition to identified Year 6 pupils, with PP pupils being prioritised. | | |
| DHT to deliver 1:1 maths tuition to identified Year 6 pupils, with PP pupils being prioritised. | | |
| Small Group Intervention | | |

| National Tutoring Programme - Additional staff to work 1:3 with identified pupils from Years 2 to 6 to receive 1:3 | EEF research shows that small group intervention has an average impact of 4 months additional progress in an academic year. | 1, 2, 3, 4 & 6 |
|---|--|----------------|
| tutoring. Focus of tuition to be on reading in the first instance. Shine materials purchased to allow support delivery of 1:3 tuition. PP pupils to be prioritised. | Evidence shows that small group tuition is effective and, as a rule of thumb, the smaller the group the better. Some studies suggest that greater feedback from the teacher, more sustained the engagement in smaller groups, or work which is more | |
| Year 1 staff to deliver small group phonic sessions, tailored to individual pupil | closely matched to learners' needs explains this impact. | |
| needs. KS1 Smart Reading – Small group guided reading sessions for pupils in Year 1 and 2 | EEF research shows that the average impact of reading comprehension strategies is an additional six months' progress over the course of a year. Successful reading comprehension approaches allow activities to be carefully tailored to pupils' reading | |
| Year 5 after school 'Bug Club' club – Targeted pupils, prioritising PP pupils, to be given access to the club. | capabilities, and involve activities and texts that provide an effective, but not overwhelming, challenge. | |
| Year 6 teachers to deliver before school intervention in reading and maths to Year 6 pupils, with PP pupils being prioritised. | | |
| All classes to have FTE TA or HLTA, focussing on 1:1 support and small group interventions. | EEF research shows that the average impact of the deployment of teaching assistants is about an additional four months' progress over the course of a year. | 1,2,3,4 &10 |
| | It also notes that the main impact occurs when TAs are providing 1:1 or small group support. | |
| Homework Clubs in Years 3 – 6 Teachers to lead a 1hr Homework Club each week | EEF research shows that the average impact of the deployment of setting homework can be up to 5 months' progress over the course of a year. | 2, 3, 4, 6 & 8 |
| | Homework is reviewed regularly to ensure it is having the desired impact. | |

Wider strategies (for example, related to attendance, behaviour, wellbeing)

Budgeted cost: £25,000

| Activity | Evidence that supports this approach | Challenge number(s) addressed |
|---|---|-------------------------------------|
| Behaviour Review and overhaul of Behaviour Policy to be carried out by Assistant Headteacher. As part of this, all staff to be provided with a copy of the book, 'When the adults change, everything changes.' by Paul Dix. CPD time to be used to enable feedback on the book and reflections to feed into policy change. | EEF research shows that the average impact of behaviour interventions is four additional months' progress over the course of a year. Evidence suggests that, on average, behaviour interventions can produce moderate improvements in academic performance along with a decrease in problematic behaviours. However, estimated benefits vary widely across programmes. Approaches such as improving teachers' behaviour management and pupils' cognitive and social skills are both effective, on average. | 1, 2, 4, 6, & 10 |
| Attendance School Business Manager (SBM) role adjusted to tackle attendance. PP pupils to be prioritised when addressing low attendance and persistent absence. SBM to set-up meetings, carry out home visits and provide support where necessary. | A report by the EEF shows that improved attendance leads to improvements in behaviour and overall attainment. | 5, 6, 8, 10 |
| Uniform PP families provided with a £30 contribution towards school uniform. | The EEF states that wearing a uniform is not, on its own, likely to improve learning, but can be successfully incorporated into a broader school improvement process which includes the development of school ethos and the improvement of behaviour and discipline. | 8 & 10 |
| Trips and Visits Provide increased opportunities for PP children experiential learning by subsidising | | 6, 7 & 8 |

| educational trips, visits and residentials. | | |
|--|--|----------------------|
| Stay and Play/Read Stay and Play Sessions - Nursery | EEF research shows that the average impact of parental engagement is four additional months' progress over the course of a year. | 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 10 |
| Morning Stay and Read sessions – Reception -6 | It acknowledges that interventions are generally more effective with parents of very young children. | |
| SEMH Purchase new PSHE scheme | EEF research shows that the average impact of successful SEL interventions is an additional four months' progress over the course of a year. | 6, 9 & 10 |
| Trailblazers Scheme | Alongside academic outcomes, SEL interventions have an identifiable and valuable impact on attitudes to learning and social relationships in school. | |
| After School Clubs Introduce after school clubs beyond those of a sporting nature. | Studies funded by the Nuffield Foundation found that taking part in activities after the formal school day can play a role in improving academic performance and social skills of disadvantaged primary school children. | 7, 9 & 10 |

Total budgeted cost: £172,000

Part B: Review of outcomes in the previous academic year

Pupil premium strategy outcomes

This details the impact that our pupil premium activity had on pupils in the 2020 to 2021 academic year.

Due to COVID-19, performance measures have not been published for 2020 to 2021, and 2020 to 2021 results will not be used to hold schools to account. Given this, please point to any other pupil evaluations undertaken during the 2020 to 2021 academic year, for example, standardised teacher administered tests or diagnostic assessments such as rubrics or scales.

If last year marked the end of a previous pupil premium strategy plan, what is your assessment of how successfully the intended outcomes of that plan were met?

Please see separate document for evaluation of the 2020 – 2021 Pupil Premium Strategy.